



भारतसरकार / Government of India  
जलशक्तिमंत्रालय / Ministry of Jal Shakti  
जल संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण विभाग  
Department of WR, RD & GR  
केन-बेतवा लिंक परियोजना प्राधिकरण  
Ken - Betwa Link Project Authority

विश्वेश्वरैया भवन  
कोलार रोड, पंचशील नगर  
भोपाल, मध्य प्रदेश-462042  
दिनांक: 10.05.2024

फाइल संख्या:

## MINUTES OF THE ELEVENTH MEETING OF THE TENDER EVALUATION COMMITTEE FOR DAUDHAN DAM

The Eleventh meeting of the Tender Evaluation Committee (TEC) for Daudhan Dam under Ken-Betwa Link Project was held on May 10, 2024 at 10:30 AM at KBLPA, Bhopal in hybrid mode under the chairmanship of CEO, KBLPA. This meeting was held in the follow-up of Tenth meeting held on April 29-30, 2024 at Bhopal to evaluate the technical bids received for construction of the Daudhan Dam under the Ken Betwa Link Project. The List of participants is enclosed as Annexure-I. The Engineer-in-Chief, WRD, Government of MP and the Member of the TEC could not attend the meeting as intimated by his office through email dated 10.05.2024 which is enclosed as Annexure -II.

### BACKGROUND

In its Tenth meeting held on April 29-30, 2024 at Bhopal, the Committee examined, deliberated and analysed the bids received, in light of the report of the Preliminary Scrutiny Committee and the tender conditions and clarifications/ corrigendum thereof, from the following six bidders:

1. KBLP Joint Venture
2. M/s NCC Limited
3. M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited
4. MEIL-Rithwik Joint Venture
5. HCC- RVNL Joint Venture
6. M/s Larsen & Toubro Limited

After examination, the TEC took the following decisions in its Tenth Meeting:

- a) MEIL- Rithwik Joint Venture does not meet the Qualification Requirements on account of lack of mandatory experience in construction of concrete dam under para 3.1.2 A(i) (a). The bidder could not produce documents to substantiate its claimed experience in construction of the concrete dam to the satisfaction of the TEC, even after another opportunity was provided. Therefore, its bid is not responsive in terms of clause 18.2 of ITB.
- b) The TEC noted that KBLP Joint venture had presented a combination of different projects taken out of seven projects to meet the different subsets of conditions stipulated under para 3.1.2 of NIT. This was not as per the tender condition, which stipulates that the aforesaid quantities should be derived from not more than five projects, necessarily including the projects proposed for meeting criteria under para 3.1.2 A(i)(a) and (b) of NIT.

Pian? de

GJ

JK

Yata gmm

- c) However, TEC found the JV meets the General Experience and the Financial Experience Criteria under Para 3.1.1 (a) and (b) of NIT and the Specific Experience criteria as specified in Paras 3.1.2. based on the quantities executed in Aruna Project, Kameng I & II, Sawra Kuddu and Rampur HEP. The TEC decided to get these quantities reaffirmed from the bidder for which a clarification was to be sought. The TEC also decided that clarification from the bidder on the deficiencies including the audited balance sheet for the FY 2022-23 shall be sought.
- d) The bids of the remaining four bidders met the qualification requirements subject to satisfactory clarifications of its queries and providing the additional documents by the bidder for ascertaining the satisfactory meeting the qualifying criteria.
- e) To ensure the responsiveness of the technical bid in terms of clause 18.2 of ITB, the bidder shall be asked to submit unconditional and unequivocal declaration enclosed as Annex-II of the minutes of the tenth meeting.
- f) The Bank Guarantee submitted by all the bidders should be strictly as per the proforma in the tender document and the bidders may be asked to resubmit the same, wherever needed.
- g) KBLPA shall ensure that all the Power of Attorney are in order and in case of an ambiguity/discrepancies, necessary clarifications may be issued.

#### **DELIBERATIONS IN THE ELEVENTH MEETING**

The TEC was informed that vide letters No KBLPA/2024/ACEO (HW)/Tender /86-90 dated 02.05.2024, the clarifications and the documents as desired by the TEC vide Minutes of its 10<sup>th</sup> meeting dated 30.04.2024, were sought from the five bidders, except MEIL-RPPL JV whose bid was already found unresponsive by the TEC.


The response and the documents received by all the above five bidders were placed before the TEC. which examined, deliberated and analysed the response received from the above bidders. The TEC noted that the Bank Guarantee submitted by all the bidders are now as per the proforma in the tender document. Further, the TEC noted from the Power of Attorney summary provided by KBLPA that all the Power of Attorney are in order.

The TEC concluded that based on the documents, certificates and clarifications received from the bidders, the bids of the five bidders meet the qualification requirements of the tender. All the bidders have also submitted the undertaking that conveying their unconditional and unequivocal acceptance of the conditions stipulated in the tender Documents and the confirmation that their quoted prices are after taking into cognizance of Scope of Work, the Owner's Requirements and probable Risks as identified in Risk Allocation Schedule.

#### **RECOMMENDATION OF THE TEC**

In view of that, the TEC recommends the following:

- (i) Opening of the Price bids (Envelope-II) of the following five bidders whose Technical Bids (Envelope-I) have been found responsive in terms of clause 18.2 of ITB:



1. KBLP Joint Venture (Joint Venture between M/s Patel Engineering Limited & M/s Mahalakshmi Infra projects Pvt. Ltd. )
  2. M/s NCC Limited
  3. M/s Dilip Buildcon Limited
  4. HCC- RVNL Joint Venture (Joint Venture between M/s Hindustan Construction Company Ltd. & M/s Rail Vikas Nigam Limited
  5. M/s Larsen & Toubro Limited
- (ii) Not to open the Price Bid (Envelope-II) of MEIL- Rithwik Joint Venture (Joint Venture between M/s Megha Engineering and Infrastructures & M/s Rithwik Project Pvt. Ltd.) in terms of clause 18.1.5 of ITB as their technical bid is not found responsive in terms of clause 18.2 of ITB, which inter-alia requires that a bid should conform to all terms and conditions of Tender Document, and for return of their Bid Security in terms of clause 15.6 of ITB.


The Chairman and the members of the Tender Evaluation Committee certified that none of the members or their near relatives have taken any gainful direct or indirect employment in the Companies/ Agencies participating in the tender process nor any of the members has any personal interest in the these companies/agencies.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.


\*\*\*

  
10/05/2024


**Pradeep Kumar Shukla**  
**Director Finance CWC and Member, TEC**  
Consent received through email dated 10.05.2024  
Enclosed as Annexure III

  
10/05/2024

**Yogesh Paithankar**  
**CE, CWC and Member, TEC**  
Consent received through email dated 10.05.2024  
Enclosed as Annexure IV

  
10/05/24

**Devesh Shukla**  
**CE, UPI&WRD and Member, TEC**

  
10/05/2024

**Prashast Kumar Dixit**  
**CEO, KBLPA and Chairman, TEC**

## LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

1.	Shri Prashast Kumar Dixit, CEO, KBLPA	In Chair	Physical
2.	Shri Yogesh Paithankar CE (PAO) CWC	Member of the TEC	Virtual
3.	Shri Devesh Shukla Chief Engineer, I&WRD, GoUP	Representative Member of E-in-C, I &WRD, GoUP	Physical
4.	Shri Pradeep Kumar Shukla Director (Fin.), CWC	Representative Member of JS&FA, DoWR,RD&GR	Virtual
5.	Shri Raj Kumar Mishra ACEO , KBLPA, Bhopal	Chairman of the PSC	Physical
6.	Shri Pradeep Kumar Saxena, Consultant-I, KBLPA, Bhopal	Member of the PSC	Physical
<b>Leave of Absence</b>			
7.	Shri Shirish Mishra	E in C, WRD GoMP	

**Email**

**P K Dixit**

**From :** EngineerInChief WaterResources <encwrbpl@mp.gov.in> Fri, May 10, 2024 11:02 AM  
**Subject :** <No Subject>

**To :** P K Dixit <ceo-kblpa@gov.in>

The Engineer -in- Chief,WRD ,Madhya Pradesh unable to attend the today's meeting as the Honourable highcourt stayed the Postings of the Engineer -in- Chief MP.

ACEOCHW)

Noted

PK  
10/5/24

Water  
10/5/2024



Pradeep Saxena <saxena.pk@gmail.com>

**Draft Minutes of Eleventh Meeting of the TEC**

Shri Pradeep Kumar Shukla <dirfin-cwc@gov.in>  
To: saxena pk <saxena.pk@gmail.com>

Fri, May 10, 2024 at 1:21 PM

The draft minute is agreed to. Accordingly, the same has been signed by the undersigned along with the signature of CE, PAO and attached herewith for further necessary action.

Regards,  
Pradeep Kumar Shukla  
Director (Finance), CWC

**From:** "saxena pk" <saxena.pk@gmail.com>  
**To:** "CE- PAO CWC" <ceprjap@nic.in>, "P K Dixit" <ceo-kblpa@gov.in>, "Shri Pradeep Kumar Shukla" <dirfin-cwc@gov.in>, "EngineerInChief WaterResources" <encwrblp-mp@nic.in>, "Raj Kumar Mishra" <aceohwbpl-kblpa@gov.in>, Engineerinchiefidup@gmail.com, "aceo cons kblpup" <aceo.cons.kblpup@gmail.com>, "saxena pk" <saxena.pk@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 10, 2024 12:01:36 PM  
**Subject:** Draft Minutes of Eleventh Meeting of the TEC

[Quoted text hidden]

**Agreed signed minutes.pdf**  
1511K

*Reviewed on  
my mail*

*PK 10/5/24*

*Pradeep Kumar Saxena  
Consultant - 1*

*OK  
R/K*

*CE/O*



Pradeep Saxena <saxena.pk@gmail.com>

**Draft Minutes of Eleventh Meeting of the TEC**

**CE- PAO CWC** <ceprjap@cw.cdelhi.nic.in>  
To: saxena pk <saxena.pk@gmail.com>

Fri, May 10, 2024 at 1:04 PM

accepted  
Yogesh Paithankar  
CE(PAO), CWC

**From:** "saxena pk" <saxena.pk@gmail.com>  
**To:** "CE- PAO CWC" <ceprjap@nic.in>, "P K Dixit" <ceo-kblpa@gov.in>, "Shri Pradeep Kumar Shukla" <dirfin-cwc@gov.in>, "EngineerInChief WaterResources" <encwrblpl-mp@nic.in>, "Raj Kumar Mishra" <aceohwbpl-kblpa@gov.in>, Engineerinchiefdup@gmail.com, "aceo cons kblpup" <aceo.cons.kblpup@gmail.com>, "saxena pk" <saxena.pk@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 10, 2024 12:01:36 PM  
**Subject:** Draft Minutes of Eleventh Meeting of the TEC  
[Quoted text hidden]

*Reviewed on my mail*

*[Signature]*

*Pradeep Kumar Saxena  
Consultant - I*

*or*

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*